

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Application No. 09/675,412

APR 16 2007

**REMARKS**

1. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the Examiner's comments, which have greatly assisted Applicant in responding.

2. **35 U.S.C. §112**

Claims 1-23 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the phrases "high categorical data" and "high categorical information elements" of Claims 1, 14, 18-20 are deemed to render the claims indefinite because the claim language and specification are deemed not to allow one of ordinary skill in the art to determine what is considered "high categorical."

Applicant respectfully traverses. Applicant incorporates herein discussion from previous responses.

Nevertheless, in the spirit of compact prosecution, Applicant has canceled Claim 21 without prejudice and has incorporated the feature of Claim 21, wherein the high categorical information elements are text data, into the independent Claims.

In view of the discussion hereinabove and of the amendment to the Claims 1, 14, and 18-20, such Claims and the respective dependent Claims are deemed to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Accordingly, the rejection to Claims is deemed overcome. Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection to Claims 1-23 (now Claim 1-20 and 22-23) under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

3. It should be appreciated that Applicant has elected to amend the Claims solely for the purpose of expediting the patent application process in a manner consistent with the PTO's Patent Business Goals, 65 Fed. Reg. 54603 (9/8/00). In making such amendment, Applicant has not and does not in any way narrow the scope of protection to which Applicant considers the invention herein to be entitled. Rather, Applicant


Application No. 09/675,412

reserves Applicant's right to pursue such protection at a later point in time and merely seeks to pursue protection for the subject matter presented in this submission.

### CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, Applicant considers the present invention to be distinguished from the art of record. Accordingly, Applicant earnestly solicits the Examiner's withdrawal of the rejections raised in the above referenced Office Action, such that a Notice of Allowance is forwarded to Applicant, and the present application is therefore allowed to issue as a United States patent. The Examiner is invited to call to discuss the response. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees due or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 07-1445.

Respectfully Submitted,



Michael A. Glenn  
Reg. No. 30,176

Customer No. 22862